

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,801	04/30/2001	Nisha D. Talagala	P5533 US	5179	
24033 75	590 04/08/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP			CHU, GABRIEL L		
315 S. BEVERLY DRIVE # 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			2114	$\overline{}$	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/846,801	TALAGALA, NISHA D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gabriel L. Chu	2114			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with ti	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed I days will be considered timely. Ifrom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	-				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	er de de constante				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9-22,25-36 and 39-44 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,8,23,24,37 and 38 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ted.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2,5,6.	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inforn 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/846,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: Referring to claim 17, "said storage device controller" is understood to refer to "said hard disk controller, correcting for antecedence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 13, 15-22, 29, 31-36, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6442711 to Sasamoto et al. Referring to claims 1 and 31, Sasamoto et al. disclose detecting data integrity errors in the storage device; counting each data integrity error in a count (From line 43 of column 4, "If a disk drive reports that it overcame some errors by itself in the course of the Read/Write operation, the MPU 104 counts up the number of errors of the disk drive and calculates some other values, such as "total access size", "total number of errors" and "total access size when an error is detected", and stores them in the table."); and when the count reaches a threshold limit, placing the storage device into a forced failure state (From line 36 of column 5, "The calculating means 302 calculates an error rate and its inclination value from the

Art Unit: 2114

total number of errors and the total access size of the disk drive in a way described later in FIG. 6 (see step S10). The judging means 303 judges if the disk drive is in need of disk changes from the total number of errors, the error rate, and the error rate inclination. When none of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value specified in the system, the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100. If at least one of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value (however there may be some other combinations of the them, see step S11). The executing means 304 regards the disk drive as half-failed (see step 12). The reproducing means 307 copies or regenerates the data on the half-failed disk drive (see step S13), and stores the reproduced data on the spare disk drive 103c (see step S14). Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the halffailed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3) names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18). Then the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100.").

Referring to claims 2, 16, and 32, Sasamoto et al. disclose the storage device is a hard disk drive (From figure 1, element 103.).

Referring to claims 3 and 33, Sasamoto et al. disclose reconstructing data stored on the storage device in a restoration storage device (From line 48 of column 5, "The reproducing means 307 copies or regenerates the data on the half-failed disk drive (see step S13), and stores the reproduced data on the spare disk drive 103c (see step

Art Unit: 2114

S14).").

Referring to claims 4 and 34, Sasamoto et al. disclose providing a storage device array containing said restoration storage device and said storage device (See figure 3.).

Referring to claims 5, 21, and 35, Sasamoto et al. disclose returning the storage device from the forced failure state to an operational state (From line 51 of column 5, "Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the half-failed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3) names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18)."); and setting the count to a base level (From the abstract, "The control unit includes means for storing a history of self recovered errors of each one of the plurality of data storage devices, means for calculating an error rate of each of the plurality of data storage devices on the basis of the history of errors, means for judging a necessity to execute a preventive maintenance of each one of the plurality of data storage devices from the error rate, and means for executing the preventive maintenance.").

Referring to claims 6 and 36, Sasamoto et al. disclose said returning the storage device from the forced failure state to an operational state comprises reformatting the storage device (From line 51 of column 5, "Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the half-failed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3)

Art Unit: 2114

names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18).").

Referring to claims 13, 29, and 43, Sasamoto et al. disclose tracking the time elapsed after a first data integrity error; and decreasing the count if the time elapsed after the first data integrity error and before a second data integrity error is greater than a preset refresh period (From line 61 of column 5, "FIGS. 6(a), 6(b), and 6(c) are diagrams illustrating how to calculate the error rate and its inclination shown in step S10 of FIG. 5. In each of FIGS. 6(a), 6(b), and 6(c), the vertical axis shows the total number of errors and the horizontal axis shows the total access size. In FIG. 6(a), a total number of errors is marked with a dot against a total access size when an error is detected. A line segment is drawn as an approximate line segment of the dots in each predetermined interval. The line segment shows a normal transition at the beginning and then exceeds the threshold level in the middle of the second interval. Subsequently, the judging means 303 judges that the disk drive needs to be exchanged. If the judging means 303 judges the necessity of preventive maintenance from an error rate and its inclination in addition to the total number of errors, the threshold level can be set up with a more appropriate value which is higher than a conventional level.").

Referring to claim 15, Sasamoto et al. disclose a storage system, comprising: a storage device; and a demerit monitor coupled to the storage device for detecting data integrity errors in the storage device, counting each data integrity error in a count (From line 43 of column 4, "If a disk drive reports that it overcame some errors by itself in the

Art Unit: 2114

course of the Read/Write operation, the MPU 104 counts up the number of errors of the disk drive and calculates some other values, such as "total access size", "total number of errors" and "total access size when an error is detected", and stores them in the table."), and when the count reaches a threshold limit, placing the storage device into a forced failure state (From line 36 of column 5, "The calculating means 302 calculates an error rate and its inclination value from the total number of errors and the total access size of the disk drive in a way described later in FIG. 6 (see step S10). The judging means 303 judges if the disk drive is in need of disk changes from the total number of errors, the error rate, and the error rate inclination. When none of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value specified in the system, the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100. If at least one of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value (however there may be some other combinations of the them, see step S11). The executing means 304 regards the disk drive as half-failed (see step 12). The reproducing means 307 copies or regenerates the data on the half-failed disk drive (see step S13), and stores the reproduced data on the spare disk drive 103c (see step S14). Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the half-failed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3) names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18). Then the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100.").

Page 6

Art Unit: 2114

Referring to claim 17, Sasamoto et al. disclose a hard disk controller, wherein said hard disk controller includes said demerit monitor (From line 24 of column 3, "The disk array control unit 101 includes a MPU 104, a RAM 105, a flush memory 106, and a non-volatile memory (NVRAM) 107, each one of them is connected to a bridge 108 via an internal bus 117 indigenous to the MPU 104. The MPU 104 executes all-round processes in the disk array system. The RAM 105 provides work space. The flush memory 106 stores codes and variables for operations. The NVRAM 107 stores aftermentioned error histories of every disk drive 103, threshold values of the total number of errors, the error rate, and its inclination. The bridge 108 is a bus protocol conversion circuit which provides an interface between an internal bus 117 and the data bus 115, such as a PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) standardized." Further, see figures 1 and 3.).

Referring to claim 18, Sasamoto et al. disclose an array controller, wherein said array controller includes said demerit monitor (From line 24 of column 3, "The disk array control unit 101 includes a MPU 104, a RAM 105, a flush memory 106, and a non-volatile memory (NVRAM) 107, each one of them is connected to a bridge 108 via an internal bus 117 indigenous to the MPU 104. The MPU 104 executes all-round processes in the disk array system. The RAM 105 provides work space. The flush memory 106 stores codes and variables for operations. The NVRAM 107 stores aftermentioned error histories of every disk drive 103, threshold values of the total number of errors, the error rate, and its inclination. The bridge 108 is a bus protocol conversion circuit which provides an interface between an internal bus 117 and the data bus 115,

Art Unit: 2114

such as a PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) standardized." Further, see figures 1 and 3.).

Referring to claim 19, Sasamoto et al. disclose a storage controller coupled to a plurality of storage devices; wherein said demerit monitor is provided in the storage controller and is coupled to each of the plurality of storage devices for detecting data integrity errors in each of the plurality of storage devices, counting each data integrity error for each of the plurality of storage devices in a count (From line 24 of column 3, "The disk array control unit 101 includes a MPU 104, a RAM 105, a flush memory 106, and a non-volatile memory (NVRAM) 107, each one of them is connected to a bridge 108 via an internal bus 117 indigenous to the MPU 104. The MPU 104 executes allround processes in the disk array system. The RAM 105 provides work space. The flush memory 106 stores codes and variables for operations. The NVRAM 107 stores after-mentioned error histories of every disk drive 103, threshold values of the total number of errors, the error rate, and its inclination. The bridge 108 is a bus protocol conversion circuit which provides an interface between an internal bus 117 and the data bus 115, such as a PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) standardized." Further, see figures 1 and 3.), and when the count for one of the plurality of storage devices reaches a threshold limit, placing the one storage device into a forced failure state (From line 36 of column 5, "The calculating means 302 calculates an error rate and its inclination value from the total number of errors and the total access size of the disk drive in a way described later in FIG. 6 (see step S10). The judging means 303 judges if the disk drive is in need of disk changes from the total number of errors, the error rate,

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/846,801 Page 9

Art Unit: 2114

and the error rate inclination. When none of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value specified in the system, the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100. If at least one of the calculated values exceeds the threshold value (however there may be some other combinations of the them, see step S11). The executing means 304 regards the disk drive as half-failed (see step 12). The reproducing means 307 copies or regenerates the data on the half-failed disk drive (see step S13), and stores the reproduced data on the spare disk drive 103c (see step S14). Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the half-failed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3) names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18). Then the disk array control unit 101 waits for further Read/Write requests from the host computer 100.").

Referring to claim 20, Sasamoto et al. disclose a count table maintaining the count for each of the plurality of storage devices (From line 24 of column 3, "The disk array control unit 101 includes a MPU 104, a RAM 105, a flush memory 106, and a non-volatile memory (NVRAM) 107, each one of them is connected to a bridge 108 via an internal bus 117 indigenous to the MPU 104. The MPU 104 executes all-round processes in the disk array system. The RAM 105 provides work space. The flush memory 106 stores codes and variables for operations. The NVRAM 107 stores aftermentioned error histories of every disk drive 103, threshold values of the total number of

Application/Control Number: 09/846,801 Page 10

Art Unit: 2114

errors, the error rate, and its inclination. The bridge 108 is a bus protocol conversion circuit which provides an interface between an internal bus 117 and the data bus 115, such as a PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) standardized.").

Referring to claim 22, Sasamoto et al. disclose said demerit monitor reconstructs data stored on the storage device in a restoration storage device and reformats the storage device (From line 48 of column 5, "The reproducing means 307 copies or regenerates the data on the half-failed disk drive (see step S13), and stores the reproduced data on the spare disk drive 103c (see step S14). Furthermore, the executing means 304 (1) disconnects the half-failed disk drive 103a from the disk array system (see step S15), (2) formats the data structure of the half-failed disk drive 103a (see step S16) and names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a new spare disk drive (see step S17), or (3) names the half-failed disk drive 103a as a copy disk drive of the spare disk drive 103c (see step S18).").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-12, 14, 25-28, 30, 39-42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6442711 to Sasamoto et al. as applied to claims 1, 15, and 31 above, and further in view of US 4532628 to Matthews. Referring to claims 9, 25, and 39, although Sasamoto et al. do not specifically disclose said detecting data

Page 11

Art Unit: 2114

integrity errors in the storage device comprises: retrieving data from the storage device; comparing the retrieved data to redundancy data; and indicating a data integrity error if the retrieved data does not correspond with the redundancy data, detecting data defects by comparing to redundancy data is well known in the art. An example of this is shown by Matthews, from line 8 of column 3, "Data read from the memory 10 passes through a conventional data checker and correction unit 12. In the event that the unit 12 detects that the data read from the memory 10 is in error, the unit 12 corrects the data and restores the corrected data over the line 14 to the location read from memory 10." A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to detect erroneous data because, specifically, from line 3 of column 2 of a Matthews, it is desirable to "[correct] "soft" failures before they become "hard" or double failures which may not be detectable while only minimally interfering with normal system operation", and further, generally, one would want to work with correct data. Further, from line 34 of column 3 of Matthews, "In addition, the system causes an error indication to be stored in an error log in a conventional manner to identify the location at which the error was detected. The error indication in the error log can thereafter be reviewed and corrective maintenance performed, if deemed necessary," and from the title of Sasamoto et al. "System and method for avoiding storage failures in a storage array system", both Sasamoto et al. and Matthews are directed to corrective maintenance.

Referring to claims 10, 26, and 40, although Sasamoto et al. and Matthews do not specifically disclose said redundancy data is checksum data, using checksum data for error detection is notoriously well known in the art. Examiner takes official notice for

Art Unit: 2114

checksums. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to use checksums because, from line 20 of column 3, "The exact technique used by the data check and correct circuit 12 for detecting and correcting errors in the data from the memory 10 is not critical to the present invention. Indeed, the prior art includes numerous methods and apparatus for detecting and correcting errors detected in digital computer systems and the like."

Referring to claims 11, 27, and 41, Sasamoto et al. disclose said retrieving data from the storage device is performed on a predetermined read schedule (From line 41 of column 3 (with emphasis), "The system according to the present invention includes circuitry to first determine the locations present in the attached dynamic semiconductor memory and then to **periodically** access, at a slow rate, each present memory location.").

Referring to claims 12, 28, and 42, Sasamoto et al. disclose said retrieving data from the storage device comprises retrieving all of the data stored on the storage device; and said comparing the retrieved data to redundancy data comprises comparing all of the data stored on the storage device to redundancy data (From line 41 of column 3 (with emphasis), "The system according to the present invention includes circuitry to first determine the locations present in the attached dynamic semiconductor memory and then to periodically access, at a slow rate, each present memory location. As each memory location is read, the memory data checker and corrector 12 then checks and corrects any accessed location where a correctable error is detected. The failing location is listed in the error log. By periodically accessing each location in

Art Unit: 2114

memory, soft errors can be detected and corrected before they become double or uncorrectable." Further, from the title, "System for periodically reading all memory locations to detect errors".).

Referring to claims 14, 30, and 44, although Sasamoto et al. and Matthews do not specifically disclose storing the count on the storage device, storing error data with the device the error data pertains to is notoriously well known in the art. Examiner takes official notice for storing error data on the storage device. An example of this is an error mapping table. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to store error data local to the device because it provides one to one correspondence and it localizes and compartmentalizes pertinent data.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 8, 23, 24, 37, and 38 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Referring to claims 7, 23, and 37, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, in light of the parent claims, said returning the storage device from the forced failure state to an operational state comprises decreasing the threshold limit for the storage device after placing the storage device into a forced failure state.

Referring to claims 8, 24, and 38, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, in light of the parent claims, said returning the storage device from the forced failure state to an operational state comprises increasing the base level after placing the storage device into a forced failure state.

Art Unit: 2114

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 4118790 to Cockett et al.

US 5828583 to Bush et al.

US 5968182 to Chen et al.

US 6381710 to Kim

US 6625755 to Hirata et al.

US 2002/0184557 to Hughes et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel L. Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel, Jr. can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2114

Page 15

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should -you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gc

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100